ENCORE SELECTION RECOMMENDATION DOCUMENT (SRD)		
A.	Task Order Title: (enter the Title from Paragraph 2 of your SOW or PWS)	
В.	Recommended Prime Contractor: Check the name/number of the Encore prime contractor to whom you are recommending an award.	Analytical Services, Inc. (ASI) - DCA200-02-D-5005  Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) - DCA200-02-D-5006  Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Corporation - DCA200-02-D-5007  Lockheed Martin Integrated Systems, Inc DCA200-02-D-0009  Northrop Grumman Information Technology Systems - DCA200-02-D-5010  Pragmatics, Inc DCA200-02-D-5011  Tran Tech, Inc DCA200-02-D-5012  TRW Systems - DCA200-02-D-5013  Unisys, U.S. Government Group - DCA200-02-D-5014  (to check a box, right-click on the box, choose "Properties," and click on "Checked" under "Default Value")
C.	Justification: Note - the "Fair Opportunity to be Considered" evaluation and justification is mandatory unless the requirement meets one of the four FASA-specified exceptions described in part D, below. If one of the exceptions applies, leave section C blank and complete Sections D, E and F.	Attach a narrative summarizing the evaluation results of proposals received describing the evaluation methodology and Best Value analysis that led to the recommendation of the prime contractor that should be awarded the task order, in accordance with the Encore Evaluation Plan. The justification should be streamlined while containing the following:  1. Results of the Past Performance Evaluation. Provide results obtained from the Encore Fair Opportunity tool or discuss any additional past performance information you have evaluated.  2. Results from the Technical/Management Approach Evaluation. Discuss any information you reviewed for each of the vendors from a) their Encore web site, b) the Encore Awarded Task Order web site, and c) the technical proposals you evaluated.  3. Results of the Cost Evaluation. Discuss and compare the results of the cost proposals from each of
		the vendors that you evaluated.
		If the SOW meets the criteria for one of the four FASA-allowed exceptions to Fair Opportunity, and is therefore exempt from the evaluation described in part C above, check the appropriate exception and provide justification for why this TO is exempt from Fair Opportunity. Note that the Exemption 4, "minimum guarantee," has been met and is no longer applicable. Also, Exceptions 1 and 2 require detailed, explicit justification that includes reasons why the Encore processing average of 21 calendar days is unreasonable or how the products or services are truly unique so that none of the other Encore primes or their subcontractors are unable to provide the product or service.
D.	Exception: Note: Complete Section D only if an exception to the "Fair Opportunity to be Considered" process is being claimed.	1. The agency need for services is of such urgency that providing such opportunity would result in unacceptable delays (attach justification).
		2. Only one such contractor is capable of providing such services required at the level of quality required because the services ordered are unique or highly specialized (attach justification).
		□ 3. The order should be issued on a sole-source basis in the interest of economy and efficiency as a logical follow-on to a TO already issued under the Encore contract, provided that all the contractors were given fair opportunity to be considered for the original order.       (enter the Contract and Task Order No. of the original task order):
		Contract DCA200-02-D-00, Task Order
		4. It is necessary to place an order to satisfy a minimum guarantee.
E.	Section 508 Compliance: Were all offers compliant with Section 508 accessibility requirements?	Yes No (explain):
F.	Primary or Alternate TM	(SRD <u>must be signed</u> by the Primary or Alternate Task Monitor - <u>electronic signature (//s//) is acceptable</u> )
	Name, Signature and Date:	